

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 TOKYO 09566 260420Z

11

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 /026 W

----- 057484

R 260348Z KWUL 73

FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5639

INFO AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

C O N F I D E N T I A L TOKYO 9566

EXDIS

E.O.: 11652 - GDS

TAGS: PFOR, KS, JA, AS

SUBJECT: ROK FOREIGN POLICY CHANGES: UNCURK

REF: (A) STATE 139811 (B) SEOUL 4777

1. IN FOLLOW UP TO SNEIDER CONVERSATIONS WITH YOSHIDA AND HOGEN (SEPTELS), EMBOFF REVIEWED SUBSTANCE REFTELS WITH FONOFF UN POL AFFAIRS DIV HEAD OWADA. OWADA SAID FONOFF FULLY AGREED WITH POSITION SET FORTH REF A AND HE HAD ONLY TWO COMMENTS.

2. WITH RESPECT TO POSSIBLE RESOLUTION CALLING FOR ADMISSION OF TWO KOREAS INTO UN, OWADA POINTED OUT THAT LANGUAGE OF RESOLUTION WOULD VARY DEPENDING ON OUR BASIC INTENTIONS. IF WE USE RESOLUTION PRIMARILY AS MEANS OF GOING ON TACTICAL OFFENSIVE AT UNGA, NORTH KOREA WOULD INEVITABLY REACT NEGATIVELY. HOWEVER, IF OUR PRIMARY PURPOSE IS TO SUCCEED IN GETTING BOTH NORTH AND SOUTH INTO UN, THEN LANGUAGE SHOULD BE SOFTER AND MORE CONCILIATORY. OWADA OBSERVED THAT RESOLUTION, HOWEVER PHRASED, CALLING FOR ADMISSION OF TWO KOREAS WOULD IN ITSELF CONSTITUTE PSYCHOLOGICAL OBSTACLES FOR DPRK GIVEN ITS CURRENT POLICIES. OWADA ALSO POINTED OUT THAT HAVING SEC GEN WALDHEIM REFER TO DESIRABILITY

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TOKYO 09566 260420Z

OF ADMITTING TWO KOREAS DURING HIS OPENING SPEECH TO UNGA, COULD ALSO INDUCE NEGATIVE REACTION ON PART OF NORTH KOREANS. COMMENT: IN MAKING THESE POINTS, OWADA DID NOT SEEM TO BE EXPRESSING FAVORABLE PREFERENCE FOR ONE TACTICAL APPROACH OR ANOTHER, RATHER HE WAS MULLING OVER EFFECTS THAT CERTAIN ACTIONS COULD HAVE.

3. OWADA'S SECOND POINT WAS TO CAUTION AGAINST USE OF TERM QTE DUAL REPRESENTATION UNQTE. HE POINTED OUT THAT THIS TERM IS ASSOCIATED IN MINDS OF MOST UN MEMBERS WITH CHIEF QUESTION RATHER THAN WITH TWO GERMANY'S. IF WE WANT THE LATTER CASE TO BE ANALOGY FOR KOREAN QUESTION, WE SHOULD AVOID USING TERM QTE DUAL REPRESENTATION UNQTE IN DISCUSSING IT.
SHOESMITH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** EXDIS

*** Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: FOREIGN RELATIONS, MEMBER ADMISSIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 JUL 1973
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: elyme
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973TOKYO09566
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: P750008-0119
From: TOKYO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730765/aaaajyhv.tel
Line Count: 80
Locator: TEXT ON MICROFILM, TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: 73 STATE 139811, 73 SEOUL 4777
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: elyme
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 NOV 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01-Nov-2001 by cunninfx>; APPROVED <04-Dec-2001 by elyme>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> jms 980311
Subject: ROK FOREIGN POLICY CHANGES: UNCURK
TAGS: PFOR, AS, JA, KS, UNCURK
To: N/A
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005